APPENDIX

Known Instances of Imperial Adjudication from Caesar to Severus Alexander, and Their Sources

The selection of cases is by necessity a product of arbitrary lines of distinction. The main rule for inclusion was that the text indicated that the emperor had resolved the legal issue at hand. In the case of rescripts, cases where the emperors often resolved the legal issue, leaving the ascertaining of facts to local magistrates or judges (a type of cassation), would in this case qualify, whereas an issue where the emperor would indicate an abstract rule would not. The arbitrariness comes from the fact that in many cases the hypothetical alternatives that the emperors are talking about may be extremely specific, leading to assumptions that these might have been actual cases. Such assumptions were rejected as leaps of faith.

The cases were collected from a survey of sources, but using numerous previous studies to support the survey (Schilling, Millar, Honoré, Peachin, etc.). Each case has been individually verified. With regards to rescripts, a division has been made between adjudicative and legislative rescripts, based on the content, i.e. whether the text refers to a legal case at hand. Thus rescripts that uttered solely a legal rule or clarification without a reference to a case were excluded. Another division was that where the decision was that of the Senate, not the emperor. These were also excluded. Those proscribed or killed in the field with or without any kind of trial are equally excluded, even though they might be included in the text. Of the inscriptions, texts which are too fragmentary but might contain a judgment (such as FD III, fasc. IV/3, 330) have been excluded. Where sufficient information is not available, space has been left blank. The references to literature are not systematic.

There are in total 774 cases listed. Those are divided as follows: Julius Caesar (11 cases), Augustus (24), Tiberius (6, of which rescripts: 1), Caligula (5), Claudius (17), Nero (9), Vitellius (1), Vespasian (1), Domitian (8), Trajan (10, of which rescripts: 2), Hadrian (30, of which rescripts: 5), Antoninus Pius (16, of which rescripts: 11), Marcus Aurelius (14, of which rescripts: 1, including four cases with Verus), Commodus (1), Pertinax (2), Septimius Severus (170, of which rescripts: 117 (including 138 cases with Caracalla), Caracalla (331, of which rescripts: 307, including 138 cases with Septimius Severus), Elagabalus (1), and Severus Alexander (257, of which rescripts: 256).

Septimius Severus and/or Caracalla		Decision by cognitio on appeal from an imperial procurator over the debt of Surus. Use of torture by procurator criticized and the case dismissed due to lack of evidence. Emperor not named.	Surus, heirs of debtor	appeal	denied	Dig. 48.18.20 Paul (3 decr.)	Honoré, Emperors and Lawyers (1994), 21; Wankerl, Appello (2009), 172–82; Rizzi, Imperator cognoscens decrevit (2012), 403–12.
Septimius Severus and/or Caracalla		Decisions to deport Metrodorus and relegate Philoctetes, both to an island for harbouring criminals. Emperor not named.	Metrodorus, Philoctetes	criminal law	condemned	Dig. 48.19.40 (3 decr.)	Honoré, Emperors and Lawyers (1994), 20.
Caracalla	205	Rescripts: Cod. Iust. 2.11.8 (20.2. to Ulpia, on theft and infamy); 4.15.2 (April, to Marcus, requiring the debtors of an insolvent to pay); 5.72.1 (29.12. to					

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		Valeus, on proving a case against a guardian); 9.1.2 (20.9. to Ingenuus, on guardians suing Secundinus for forgery).					
Caracalla	211	Rescripts: 2.4.1 (1.5. to Celerius, on compromises with curators); 3.28.5 (6.10. to Aelius, allowing to continue father's testament complaint); 3.34.1 (11.11. to Calpurnia, allowing an action against obstructive building); 6.45.1 (28.12. to					

Saturnina, releasing her from obligation to marry); 7.59.1 (30.9. to Julianus, rejecting his plea for disregarding his confession of debt).

Caracalla

212

Rescripts: Cod. Iust. 1.18.1 (25.4. to Maximus, helping a soldier to defend himself in a lawsuit); 4.25.1 (25.4. to Hermetus, liability for a slave's loan); 4.32.6 (11.2. to Antigonus, on satisfying a resisting creditor); 5.16.1 (11.1. to Tryphaena, denying the return of gifts by intestate father); 5.28.2 (11.4. to Sabinianus, on

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		appointment of guardians); 5.37.3 (19.8. to Eumusus, on crediting for necessary expenses); 5.43.1 (13.8. to Domitia, allowing accusing a freedman guardian); 5.51.1 (27.9. to Leo, on how to prove accounts of guardianship); 5.75.1 (5.1. to Mucianus, granting action against magistrates for liability of appointing tutors); 6.3.4 (18.4. to Valerianus, on					

recovering money from his freedman); 6.3.5 (13.5. to Terentius, on obligations of his mother's freedman); 6.21.1 (9.9. to Florus, on his brother's inheritance); 6.24.2 (17.6. to Calcilius, on his father's inheritance); 6.42.1 (16.8. to Demetrius, on proving Demetrius' will); 6.47.2 (17.5. to the freedmen of Cassianus, on payment of legacy); 8.8.1 (8.4. to Justinus, on his status and right to sue); 8.17.2 (11.5. to Chrestus, on prioritizing rights); 8.35.1 (18.7. to Claudius,

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		on liabilities of the debtor of an inheritance); 8.43.1 (11.2. to Apronius, saying that he already answered the guestion about his sister's debtor); 8.44.4 (22.7. to Georgius, on sale and pledge on land); 8.44.5 (17.9. to Patroina, on redeeming pledges on land purchased); 9.22.1 (7.3. to Severinus, on suing a woman for selling a child); 9.23.1 (5.9. to Vallatius, reaffirming him as his father's					

		heir); 9.47.2 (26.6. to Valerius, saying that his procurator had no jurisdiction and thus the sentence is void); 9.50.1 (21.12. to Aquila, her suicidal brother's fortune goes to the heirs).					
Caracalla	212/213	Philiscus, a Thessalian sophist, was accused of evading liturgies in his home city and tried before Caracalla. Caracalla was very irritated.	Philiscus	exemption from city obligations	Philiscus lost his case	Philostr. V S 11.30.	Millar, Emperor (1992 [1977]), 231–2, 234, 439; Nörr, 'Reskriptenpraxis' (1981), 34–5.
Caracalla	212/213	An inscribed rescript of Caracalla about limiting military requisitions, with instructions to local officials.	inhabitants of Takina	requisitioning		Epigraphica Anatolica 10 (1987) 133–142.	
Caracalla	213	Rescript on how to compel payment from debtors.	Septimia Marcia	debt		Epitome codicum Gregoriani et Hermogeniani Wisigothica 12.1.	

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
Caracalla	213	Rescripts: Cod. Iust. 1,9.1 (30.6. to Claudius Tryphonius, rejecting recovery of Cornelia Salvia's donation to Antiochian Jews); 2.3.5 (25.7. to Demagoras, release of an obligation); 2.3.7 (30.7. to Julius Maximus, about inheriting your debtor); 2.4.2 (11.8. to Lutatia, on compromise about inheritance); 2.7.1 (29.9. to Dolon, collusion by advocate); 2.8.1					
		(20.12. to Claudius, on					
		prosecuting fiscal					

cases); 3.8.2 (23.7. to Magnilla, referring a descent case to governor); 3.31.4 (23.2. to Vitalianus, about the division of expenses in a inheritance case); 3.31.5 (27.5. to Postumiana, about expenses in a case about restoration of inheritance); 3.33.3 (30.7. to Antonianus, on the inheritability of usufruct); 3.37.1 (1.3. to Lucianus, allowing action on the sale of portion of inherintance); 3.44.1 (25.10. to Dionysia, restoring a son's grave); 4.21.1 (9.9. to Septima

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		Marcia, payment of debt by debtors); 4.29.2 (11.8. to Nepotiana, denying assistance concerning suretyship of women); 4.29.3 (11.8. to Servatus, granting mother's exception); 4.65.2 (1.7. to Epidius Epictetus, granting an action on hire); 5.12.2 (30.7. to Alcibiades, granting action on dowry stipulatio to Palla, the applicant's sister); 5.14.2 (22.3. to Theodota,					

denying an empty claim); 5.16.2 (no date, to Marcus, granting return of slave fraudulently donated by soldier to 'housekeeper'); 5.16.3 (4.3. to Epictetus, reaffirming gift of slaves to wife); 5.36.1 (25.7. to Tiberianus and Rufus, about ending a temporary appointment of guardian); 5.37.4 (20.9. to Procula, about punishing the guardian of the applicant's daughter); 5.39.1 (24.6. to Septimus, advising suing Juliana's curator); 5.41.1 (25.7. to Sextus, granting an excuse to a

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		guardian); 5.44.1 (20.7. to Miltiades, allowing him to sue his wards); 5.51.2 (7.7. to Praesentinus, on how to sue guardians); 5.54.2 (19.2. to Valentinianus and Maternus, on his father's failed curatorship); 5.54.3 (5.7. to Avita, telling to sue the heirs of her former guardian); 6.21.2 (19.2. to Septimus, on inheriting camp property); 6.21.3 (1.11. to Vindicianus, reaffirming the testament of					

6.25.2 (8.3. to Cassia, rejecting her request to inherit her mother despite not fulfilling the terms); 6.29.1 (28.6. to Brittianus, on a broken testament); 6.38.1 (8.8. to Antipatra, on what is part of a legacy); 6.44.1 (23.2. to Septimus, on the interpretation of enclosed will); 7.26.1 (13.8. to Flavianus, on reclaiming slaves); 7.52.1 (18.2. to Stallator, on res iudicata); 7.73.2 (19.10. to Valeriana, on the return of her dowry); 7.73.3 (30.12. to Juliana, on suing her husband for money); 7.75.1 (14.10. to Caesia,

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		on recovering					
		fraudulently					
		transferred					
		property); 8.17.3					
		(11.10. to Varus, on priority of					
		pledge); 8.35.2					
		(15.2. to Julius, on					
		defending his					
		house); 9.20.1					
		(21.3. to Placidus,					
		that his father may					
		sue the kidnapper					
		of his slave); 9.20.2					
		(no date, to					
		Aurelius, on suing					
		a corruptor of					
		slave); 9.23.2					
		(13.12. to Attibius,					
		forgiving falsification of					
		will); 10.3.1 (7.1.					
		to Agortia,					
		advising on how					
		to restore					
		property lost).					

Caracalla	213	Rescript on responsibility due to paternal debt.	Iulius	inheritance
Caracalla	214	Rescripts: Cod. Iust. 2.37.1 (2.5. to Prunicus, appeal is denied against contracting party Zenodora, a minor); 4.2.2 (25.4. to Hermogenes, allowing action on stipulatio); 4.58.1 (30.5. to Decentius Veromilius, granting action on a fraudulent sale of defective slave); 4.65.3 (6.1. to Flavius Callimorphus, setting conditions of eviction of renter); 5.31.1 (4.2. to Chrysantha, on appointing a guardian for a lawsuit); 5.31.2 (5.7. to		

Appendices legis Romanae Wisigothorum duae 1.5.

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		Epaphroditus, on freedman's duty to have guardians appointed); 5.40.1 (5.11. to Miltiades, allowing one guardian to defend a minor in court); 6.30.1 (July, to Titia, releasing her from her father's obligations); 6.31.1 (15.7. to Mucianus, on whether he abstrained from inheritance); 7.16.2 (5.2. to Verenianus, on slave status); 7.53.3 (no date, to Agrippa, expediting execution of judgment); 8.20.1 (18.11. to					

		Venuetus, that his portion is not compromised by his brother's actions); 8.40.6 (21.6. to Polla, that his father may not be sued on Cornelius' loan).			
Caracalla	215	A rescript about curators.	Priscianus	curator	Consultatio veteris cuiusdam iurisconsulti 9.8.
Caracalla	215	2.24.1 (4.4. to Marciana, granting action for restoration of inheritance); 2.53.2 (19.9. to Dionysius, conditionally reinstating a case, pending verification by governor); 3.28.7 (26.6. to Secundinus, allowing complaint of testament); 3.34.2 (1.7. to Martial, about water			

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		servitutes); 4.6.1					
		(27.7. to					
		Callisthenes,					
		ordering					
		restoration of					
		dowry); 4.7.2					
		(17.11. to					
		Longinus,					
		rejecting the					
		restoration of a					
		house given for					
		dishonourable					
		purposes); 4.14.2					
		(30.8. to Baeticus,					
		rejecting an action					
		on <i>peculium</i> after					
		service); 4.19.2					
		(17.11. to					
		Auluzanus,					
		referring a claim					
		of possession to					
		regular courts);					
		4.26.3 (29.6. to					
		Artemon, on how					
		to recoup money					
		loaned to Prisca's					
		slave); 4.30.3					

(29.6. to Demetria, on defence against fraudulent debtors); 4.49.1 (10.6. to Aeliana, granting an action on sale, not in rem); 5.18.3 (27.8. to Hastilia, what happens if husband is found to be a slave); 5.31.3 (12.7. to Atlanta, on appointment of new guardian); 5.31.4 (13.7. to Domninus, a creditor may ask for appointment of guardian); 5.32.1 (1.10. to Aristobula, on magistrate's responsibility to appoint guardian); 5.37.5 (July, to Rufinus, compelling guardians to comply); 5.43.2 (13.1. to

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		Longinus, allowing him to sue fraudulent curators); 5.51.3 (29.6. to Vitalius, estimating the actions of his curator); 5.53.3 (1.7. to Priscianus, on the liability of his defaulted curator); 6.2.3 (8.9. to Secundus, on suing his stepfather for theft); 6.31.2 (27.6. to Severus, on whether he abstrained from inheritance); 6.37.7 (11.7. to Faustus, affirming joint ownership of a Fortidianian farm); 6.42.2 (27.7. to Eupatrius,					

granting defence on a trust); 6.42.3 (9.12. to Rufinus, about Chrysis' inheritance); 6.46.3 (10.7. to Aurelius, depriving Aluzanus' legatee of inheritance); 7.29.1 (25.6. to Zoilus, rejecting acquisition of slaves); 7.73.4 (29.6. to Quintus, on protecting a farm from debts); 8.16.3 (30.3. to Restitulus, affirming that burial of his son consecrated the ground); 8.17.4 (9.12. to Silvanus, resolving his dispute over the property of Socianus with the municipality of Heliopolis); 8.38.1 (1.7. to Paulinus, stipulation of a minor is not

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		binding); 8.40.7 (1.7. to Erotis, about his obligations through mandate); 8.46.2 (17.2 to Marania, on ownership and paternal power); 9.6.2 (26.9. to Eutychianus, that a suit of forgery is not extinguished if only one of the accused dies); 9.16.1 (31.1. to Aurelius Herculianus and other soldiers, that accidental killing is unpunished, Coll. 1.8.1); 9.32.2 (25.4. to Primus, allowing him to sue his stepfather for wasting his					
		inheritance).					

Caracalla	216	Caracalla heard the case of the Goharians, see Ch. 5.	Goharian embassy	priesthood	SEG XVII 759.	See Ch. 5. Millar, Emperor (1992 [1977]), 38, 121, 233, 455, 535–6; Nörr, 'Reskriptenpraxis' (1981), 35; Wankerl, Appello (2009), 203–26.
Caracalla	216	Rescripts: Cod. Iust. 2.6.1 (1.8. to Artemidorus, rejecting further appeal from a decision of the prefect of Egypt); 2.18.7 (10.3. to Euphrata, on inherintance); 3.44.2 (1.5. to Hilarianus, allowing removal of an unwanted body); 4.26.4 (28.12. to Leontius, release from father's debt); 4.35.3 (27.10. to Germanus, on concurrent suits against debtors); 4.54.1 (no date, to Claudia Diotima,				

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		upholding a condition of sale); 5.62.4 (21.6. to Agothodaemon, allowing his marriage to Demetria, his ward, done in good faith); 6.25.3 (30.4. to Maxentius and others, allowing them to inherit); 6.37.8 (8.3. to Demetrius, on a soldier's legacy as guardian); 7.53.4 (3.6. to Marcellus, on satisfying his creditors); 8.18.2 (1.10. to Felix, on succeeding the fisc and recovering money); 9.6.3 (28.9. to Proculus,					

that he cannot be punished for abandoning and extinquished suit); 9.32.3 (3.1. to Helena, allowing her to sue her former stepmother for wasting her inheritance); 10.8.1 (17.11. to Antiochus, telling him to pay the penalty). Rescripts: Cod. Iust. 2.18.9 (22.2. to Sallustius, granting action against a moneycollector); 8.37.3 (24.2. to Hadrianus, on recovering money). Rescripts: Cod. Iust. year 218: 2.18.8 (27.7. to Severus, allowing a veteran to sue

an agent). 223:

217

wrong

dating

Caracalla

Caracalla

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		2.12.8 (25.8. to Mansuetus, on the collection of debt for others); 3.28.10 (12.8. to Quintilianus, allowing claim of inheritance against fisc); 3.34.3 (1.5. to Ricana, contracting water servitutes). 229: 3.28.12 (5.12. to Licinius and Diogianus, rejecting an action denying a child of inheritance). 230: 2.12.12 (27.9. to Frontinus, on the mandate of a son as defendant). 243: 1.18.2 (18.10. to Sextus Juvenal,					

rejecting a claim of ignorance of law). 277: 2.12.10 (27.2. to Castricia, on procurators exceeding their mandates). 312: 2.53.1 (3.3. to Aemilianus, reinstating action due to case lost while on an embassy). 218: 2.18.8 (27.7. to Severus, allowing a veteran to sue an agent). 223: 2.12.8 (25.8. to Mansuetus, on the collection of debt for others); 3.28.10 (12.8. to Quintilianus, allowing claim of inheritance against fisc); 3.34.3 (1.5. to Ricana, contracting water servitutes). 229: 3.28.12 (5.12. to

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		Licinius and Diogianus, rejecting an action denying a child of inheritance). 230: 2.12.12 (27.9. to Frontinus, on the mandate of a son as defendant). 243:1.18.2 (18.10. to Sextus Juvenal, rejecting a claim of ignorance of law). 277: 2.12.10 (27.2. to Castricia, on procurators exceeding their mandates). 312: 2.53.1 (3.3. to Aemilianus, reinstating action due to case lost while on an embassy).					

Caracalla

Rescripts without year: Cod. Iust. 3.36.2 (12.2. to Avitianus, on retaining dowry); 4.7.1 (no date, to Ingenuus, on how to be released from a false debt); 4.30.4 (no date, to Bassus, refusing a complaint of false debt if it was partly paid); 4.31.1 (no date, to Dianensis, on where to recover money paid to fisc); 4.32.8 (no date, to Claudius Doryphorus, on Bassa's interest on loan from Menophanus); 4.32.9 (no date, to Canius Probus, limiting the interest liability of a debtor); 4.35.2 (no date, to Statius Marcellinus,

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		granting action on a father's suretyship); 4.39.2 (no date, to Titius Florianus, rejecting a late claim for a bond); 7.4.2 (no date, to Valerius, affirming his freedom despite forged will); 7.16.1 (9.2. to Saturnina, admonishing her for selling her sons); 7.52.3 (no date, to Demetrius, telling that if you do not appeal, you obey); 7.53.2 (no date, to Maximus, on novation of judgment); 7.73.1					

(no date, to Eutropia, on restoring her wrongly confiscated property); 8.35.3 (no date, to Vitalis, on suing his brother for fraud in guardianship); 9.47.4 (no date, to Marina, that a boy has the status of her mother at conception); 9.51.2 (no date, to Quietus, telling that his father's property stays confiscated); 10.39.1 (no date, to Silvanus, advising that he has to pay liturgies to both Berytus and Byblium); 10.40.1 (no date, to Paulinus, about civic duties); 10.53.1 (no date,

Emperor	Date	Description	Parties	Subject-matter	Decision	Sources	Literature
		to Numisius, affirming immunity from liturgies); 10.67.1 (no date, to Basilida, you can sue to be freed from liturgies); 11.30.2 (no date, to Aphrodisius, on obligations); 11.32.2 (no date, no name, on bids to lease public lands); 12.35.1 (no date, to Annaeus, refusing military pay when he was among the enemy); 12.35.2 (no date, to the Soldiers of the First Cohort, giving them liberty from					

Caracalla		sordid duties after 20 years of service). A rescript to Granius Firminus about tutors and their			Frag. Vat. 228.
Caracalla/ Elagabalus	218	responsibility. A rescript on inheritance and patria potestas, identification to Elagabalus based on dating.	Victorina	inheritance	Epitome codicum Gregoriani et Hermogeniani Wisigothica 13.1.
Severus Alexander	222	A rescript about the petitioner's mother's lawsuit.	Aurelius Dionysius		Consultatio veteris cuiusdam iurisconsulti 9.11.
Severus Alexander	222	Rescripts: Cod. Iust. 1.23.1 (15.7., procedure); 2.3.8 (12.9., procedure); 2.3.9 (12.9., contract); 2.18.10 (20.11., expenses); 3.32.3 (30.10., sale); 3.35.1 (7.11., damages); 3.37.2 (12.9., pledge);			